Amendment dated January 22, 2007 Reply to Office Action of September 22, 2006

REMARKS

A Petition for Extension of Time is being concurrently filed with this Amendment. Thus,

this Amendment is being timely filed.

Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to reconsider the present application in

view of the foregoing amendments to the claims and the following remarks.

Status of Claims

Claims 1-7 are pending in the present application. Claims 1, 5, and 6 have been amended

herein.

No new matter has been added with these claim amendments. The amendment to claim 1

is editorial in nature and has support in the present specification in the paragraph bridging pages

3-4 (see also the paragraph bridging pages 18-19). The amendment to claim 5 is also editorial in

nature, as the meaning of this claim has not changed. Support for the amendment to claim 6 can

be found at page 11, line 25 to page 13, line 4 of the present specification. Thus, no new matter

has been added by way of these amendments. Also, with respect to the clarifying amendments.

Applicant in no way is conceding any limitations with respect to the interpretation of the claims

under the Doctrine of Equivalents.

Based upon the above considerations, entry of the present amendment is respectfully

requested.

In view of the following remarks, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner

withdraw all rejections and allow the currently pending claims.

6 of 12

GMM/ETP/las

Issues Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite as

stated in paragraphs 1-2, page 2 of the outstanding Office Action. This rejection is respectfully

traversed, and reconsideration and withdrawal thereof are respectfully requested.

The Examiner states that it is not clear whether the temperature limitation is to be applied

for both choices of Z or only Formula (3). Claim 1 has been amended herein to further clarify

that the temperature range is applied only when Z is a group represented by Formula (3).

With regard to claim 6, the Examiner further stated that it is not clear what is intended by

the aromatic cyclic group for R'. Applicant respectfully submits that claim 6 properly depends

on claim 1 (see claim 6 herein) since, e.g., an aromatic heterocyclic group is a type of

heterocyclic group. If the Examiner still questions claim 6 (regarding paragraph 2 at page 2 of

the Office Action). Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to contact Applicant's

representative at the contact information given below.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection are respectfully requested.

Issues under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1 and 3-7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Von

Doz et al. (Angew. Chem., Vol. 73(19), p. 657 (1961)).

Also, claims 1, 2 and 4-7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by

McKay et al. (Canadia Journal of Chemistry, Vol. 38, pl. 343-358 (1960)).

7 of 12 GMM/ETP/las

Applicant respectfully traverses, and reconsideration and withdrawal of both rejections are respectfully requested.

Von Doz et al.

As is stated by the Examiner, compound (III) of Von Doz et al. corresponds to N-substituted carbamic acid derivative represented by Formula (I) of the present invention wherein Z is a group represented by Formula (3). However, the present invention differs from the Von Doz et al. disclosure in that Von Doz et al. carried out a cyclization reaction at 90°C. Thus, because "a claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference," the cited Von Doz et al. reference cannot be a basis for a rejection under § 102(b). See Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987).

Furthermore, in contrast to the Von Doz et al. disclosure, the heating step of the present invention is carried out at a temperature in a range of from 95°C to 145°C which leads to differences in yield or purity levels. As mentioned at page 22, lines 2-7 of the specification, when Z is a group represented by Formula (3), a reaction temperature that is lower than 95°C invites a decreased reduction rate (or reaction speed). Also, a temperature exceeding 145°C invites decomposition of the product N,N',N"-trisubstituted isocyanuric acid, thus inviting a decreased yield. With respect to the higher temperature, a comparison of Example 18 (pages 35-36 of the specification) with Comparative Example 1 (page 36) supports Applicant's observations.

Reply to Office Action of September 22, 2006

Because there is no Comparative Example provided in the specification wherein the reaction

temperature is lower than 95°C, Applicant herein encloses a 37 C.F.R. § 1.132 Declaration by

Naruhisa Hirai, the present inventor. The Rule 132 Declaration provides an experimental example

wherein a cyclization reaction is carried out at 90°C. In the experimental example, the reaction is

carried out at 90°C for 60 minutes, and yield of N, N', N"-tris(benzyloxy)isocyanuric acid is 31%.

The yield is notably lower than that of Example 18 (55%), wherein reaction is carried out at 120°C

for 20 minutes.

Thus, because of the lack of disclosure of all features as instantly claimed, the rejection in

view of Von Doz et al. is overcome. Reconsideration and withdrawal are respectfully requested.

McKay et al.

The cited McKay et al. reference discloses a reaction of oxyamine hydrochloride compound

(I) with phosgene to yield N,N',N"-trisubstituted isocyanuric acid (IV). McKay et al. further

disclose compound (II) represented by formula RONHC(O)Cl as a reaction intermediate (it appears

that the Examiner is referring to compound (II) in McKay et al. and N-substituted carbamic acid

derivative represented by Formula (1) in the present application). However, Applicant notes that \boldsymbol{Z}

in Formula (1) of the present invention is -O-R' (Formula (2) in claim 1) or imidazole group

(Formula (3) in claim 1 also). Therefore, the compound (II) of McKay $\it{et~al.}$ is not identical to the

N-substituted carbamic acid derived by Formula (1) of the present invention.

Applicant notes ever further distinctions from McKay et al. The method of McKay et al. is

unfit for industrial utilization, because it requires continuous feeding of highly toxic phosgene

9 of 12

GMM/ETP/las

Amendment dated January 22, 2007

Reply to Office Action of September 22, 2006

throughout the reaction. By contrast, the present invention does not need to treat a large quantity of

dangerous materials. Additionally, the method of the present invention possesses economic

advantages, because objective isocyanuric derivatives can be obtained from N-substituted carbamic

acid derivatives as represented by Formula (1) only by heating. Even when the production process

is started out from preparation of said N-substituted carbamic acid, it can be produced easily

because N-substituted carbamic acid can be yielded in high yield by reacting an O-substituted

hydroxylamine with equivalent amount of a carbonic compound (see Production Example 1 and

Examples 1-13 in Applicant's specification).

Furthermore, McKay et al. obtained 1,3,5-tribenzyloxy isocyanuric acid at a yield of 51.5%

at the most (page 356, lines 14+). By contrast, the present invention can produce N,N',N"-

tris(benzyloxy)isocyanuric acid at a yield of over 70% or even 80% (Examples 1-4; see starting at

page 29). Thus, the methods are not the same.

Regarding claim 2 of the present invention, there are certain combinations of raw materials

recited. Each raw material goes through N-substituted carbamic acid derivatives represented by

Formula (1) to yield N,N',N"-trisubstituted isocyanuric acids represented by Formula (4) (see also

page 14, lines 5-17 of the present specification). Therefore, the invention of claim 2 also possesses

novelty (and is not obvious) for the reasons stated above.

Applicant notes that the combination of O-substituted hydroxyl amine (C), phosgene as

compound (II) and hydroxyl compound (D) may somehow look similar to McKay et al.. However,

this combination first gives carbonate compound by reaction of hydroxyl compound (D) with

phosgene, then the obtained carbonate compound reacts with O-substituted with hydroxylamine (C)

10 of 12 GMM/ETP/las

to yield the N-substituted carbamic acid derivative represented by Formula (1). As one example,

phenol reacts with phosgene to yield diphenyl carbonate, wherein the diphenyl carbonate reacts with

O-substituted hydroxylamine (C) to yield the N-substituted carbamic acid (1). In this connection,

Applicant respectfully refers the Examiner to Examples 14-17 in the present specification which

involve reactions of diphenyl carbonate with O-benzylhydroxylamine.

Furthermore, the present invention is also directed to a process for producing an N,N'N"-

trisubstituted isocyanuric acid comprising a purifying step with the use of an alcohol-containing

solvent (see independent claim 7, which is also cited in the Office Action). As a result of the

mentioned purification step, the unreacted raw materials and by-products (such as imidazole) attach

to the reaction product, and added base and other components can be efficiently removed to thereby

yield a N,N',N"-trisubstituted isocyanuric acid at higher purity levels (page 28, lines 7+). On the

other hand, McKay et al. is completely silent about such a purification step in pending claim 7. In

other words, McKay et al. also fail to disclose all features of the invention represented by pending

claim 7.

Thus, for several reasons as explained above, this rejection in view of McKay et al. has been

overcome. Verdegaal Bros. Reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection are respectfully

requested.

Conclusion

A full and complete response has been made to all issues as cited in the Office Action.

Applicants have taken substantial steps in efforts to advance prosecution of the present

11 of 12

GMM/ETP/las

Application No. 10/568,597 Amendment dated January 22, 2007

Reply to Office Action of September 22, 2006

application. Thus, Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance issue for the

present case.

If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this

application, the Examiner is invited to contact Eugene T. Perez (Reg. No. 48,501) at the offices

of Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP,

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future

replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any

additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: January 22, 2007 Respectfully submitted.

Opranii M. Murphy, Jr.

Registration No. 28,971

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Docket No.: 3273-0220PUS1

8110 Gatehouse Road, Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747 (703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

Attachment: Declaration pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.132

GMM/ETP/las

12 of 12